MATH4060 Tutorial 4

16 February 2023

Problem 1 (Chap 6, Ex. 11). Let $f(z) = e^{az}e^{-e^z}$, where a > 0. Observe that in the strip $\{x + iy : |y| < \pi/2\}$ the function f(x + iy) is exponentially decreasing as |x| tends to infinity. Prove that

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \Gamma(a - 2\pi i\xi), \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For each $|y| < \pi/2$, $|f(x+iy)| = e^{ax-e^x \cos y}$ has exponential decay as $|x| \to \infty$, since $\cos y > 0$ and a > 0. Using a substitution $t = e^x$, we have

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-2\pi i\xi x} \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ax - e^x - 2\pi i\xi x} \, dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{a - 2\pi i\xi - 1}e^{-t} \, dt = \Gamma(a - 2\pi i\xi).$$

Problem 2 (Chap 6, Ex. 13).

(a) Prove that

$$\frac{d^2\log\Gamma(s)}{ds^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(s+n)^2}$$

whenever s is a positive number. Show that if the left-hand side is interpreted as $(\Gamma'/\Gamma)'$, then the above formula also holds for all complex numbers $s \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$

(b) Using (a), show that

$$\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s+1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}2^{1-2s}\Gamma(2s).$$

For (a), we use Hadamard factorization

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = e^{\gamma s} s \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{s}{n} \right) e^{-s/n}, \qquad \Gamma(s) = e^{-\gamma s} s^{-1} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{s}{n} \right)^{-1} e^{s/n}$$

on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$ to compute that

$$\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = -\gamma - \frac{1}{s} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+s} - \frac{1}{n}\right),$$
$$\left(\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)}\right)' = \frac{1}{s^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+s)^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+s)^2}$$

To justify each step above, we make use of the following facts (proofs as in Lecture 6 or Proposition 3.2 of Chapter 5): Let $f_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ be a sequence of nowhere-vanishing holomorphic functions. Suppose that $\prod f_n$ converges uniformly on compact subsets to a nowhere-vanishing (holomorphic) function f. Then

- (i) $\prod (1/f_n)$ converges to 1/f uniformly on compact subsets,
- (ii) $f'/f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n/f_n$, where the series converges uniformly on compact subsets.

For (b), use (a) to show that the nowhere-vanishing entire function $g(s) = \Gamma(s)\Gamma(s + 1/2)/\Gamma(2s)$ satisfies (g'/g)' = 0, so that $g(s) = e^{as+b}$. Substitute s = 1 and s = 1/2 to find g explicitly.

Problem 3 (Chap 6, Ex. 12). Show that

- (a) $1/|\Gamma(s)|$ is not $O(e^{c|s|})$ for any c > 0.
- (b) there is no entire function F(s) with $F(s) = O(e^{c|s|})$ that has simple zeros at $s = 0, -1, -2, \ldots$ and that vanishes nowhere else.
- (a) Using $s\Gamma(s) = \Gamma(s+1)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Gamma(-k-1/2) = \frac{\Gamma(-(k-1)-1/2)}{(-k-1/2)} = \dots = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(-1/2)(-3/2)\cdots(-k-1/2)},$$

so that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(-k-1/2)}\right| = \frac{(3/2)(5/2)\cdots(k+1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \ge \frac{k!}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$$

If $1/|\Gamma(s)| = O(e^{c|s|})$ for some c > 0, then there exists C > 0 with

$$k! < Ce^{c(k+1/2)}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This is impossible since $\lim_{k\to\infty} k!/e^{c(k+1/2)} = \infty$.

(b) Suppose for a contradiction, such F exists. Then by Hadamard theorem,

$$F(s) = e^{as+bs} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{s}{n}\right) e^{-s/n},$$

so we can write $1/\Gamma(s) = F(s)e^{(\gamma-a)s-b}$. But the right-hand-side is $O(e^{c'|s|})$ for some c' > 0, which is a contradiction to (a).

Problem 4 (Chap 5, Prob. 1, Blaschke condition). Prove that if f is holomorphic in the unit disc, bounded and not identically zero, and z_1, z_2, \ldots are its zeros, then

$$\sum_{n} (1 - |z_n|) < \infty.$$

Fact: for $0 < a_n \leq 1$, $\prod_n a_n > 0$ if and only if $\sum_n (1 - a_n) < \infty$. To prove this, first note that the condition on a_n implies that the sequence of partial products is monotone decreasing (and bounded below by zero), so converges. If $\prod_n a_n > 0$, then $\sum_n \log a_n < \infty$ and $a_n \to 1$. Since $\lim(\log a_n)/(1 - a_n) = 1$, limit comparison test shows that $\sum_n (1 - a_n)$ converges. The converse is Proposition 3.1 (Chap 5) together with the condition that $a_n > 0$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $f(0) \neq 0$ (otherwise just factor out z^m) and the number of zeros is infinite. The above observation reduces to showing that $\prod_n |z_n| > 0$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider 0 < r < 1 such that $\mathfrak{n}(r) > k$ and there is no zeros on C_r . Recall Jensen's formula:

$$\log |f(0)| - \sum_{n=1}^{\mathfrak{n}(r)} \log(|z_n|/r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta.$$

Boundedness of f implies that there exists a constant M > 0 with

$$|f(0)| \prod_{n=1}^{k} \frac{r}{|z_n|} \le |f(0)| \prod_{n=1}^{\mathfrak{n}(r)} \frac{r}{|z_n|} \le M.$$

Take $r \to 1^-$ to see that $\prod_{n=1}^k |z_n| \ge |f(0)|/M > 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then take $k \to \infty$.